ic

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/580,093	01/08/2007	Volker Brass	0740-80	7461
616 THE MAXHA	7590 02/05/2008 M FIRM	er ook	EXAMINER.	
9330 SCRANTON ROAD, SUITE 350			TRINH, TAN H	
SAN DIEGO, (CA 92121	,	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2618	-
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
	•	•	02/05/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/580,093	BRASS ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	-
	TAN TRINH	2618	
The MAILING DATE of this communication	on appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR F WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILII - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 (after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicat - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	NG DATE OF THIS COMMUNI CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a ion. period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON statute, cause the application to become Al	CATION. reply be timely filed ITHS from the mailing date of this communication BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2a) This action is FINAL. 3) Since this application is in condition for a closed in accordance with the practice ur 	This action is non-final. Ilowance except for formal mat		6
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☑ Claim(s) 1-27 is/are pending in the application Papers 4) ☑ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 5) ☑ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) ☑ Claim(s) is/are rejected to. 8) ☑ Claim(s) are subject to restriction are subject to restriction are subjected to by the Example The specification is objected to by the Example The drawing(s) filed on 19 May 2006 is/are Applicant may not request that any objection is Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the content of the specific of t	thdrawn from consideration. and/or election requirement. arminer. are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objecto the drawing(s) be held in abeyar	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	1).
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by t	he Examiner. Note the attached	d Office Action or form PTO-152.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docu 2. Certified copies of the priority docu 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International B * See the attached detailed Office action for 	ments have been received. ments have been received in A e priority documents have been sureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	pplication No received in this National Stage	
Attachment(s) 1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) ☐ Interview S	Summary (PTO-413)	
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	(s) Paper No(s	s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application	

10/580,093 Art Unit: 2618

DETAILED ACTION

Information Disclosure Statement

1. The information disclosure statement (IDS) submitted on 08-20-2007, the information disclosure statement has been considered by the examiner.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 12,15 and 27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Raith (U.S. Patent No. 6,385,461) in view of Sollner (U.S. Patent No. 5,506,837).

Regarding claims 12 and 27, Raith teaches a method for providing active members of a Voice Group Call Service (VGCS) with additional information text-and/or binary signal transmission SMS and text message (see fig. 1 and 3, col. 3, lines 59-67, and col. 7, lines 65-67) the method comprising: transmitting the additional information in a message on an associated control channel for a traffic channel of the voice group (see col. 3, lines 39-52), wherein the message contains a voice group call ID (VGC-ID) or a voice group call Reference (VGC reference) (see user group call ID (UGID) col. 6, lines 14-67); triggering (see col. 7, lines 52-col. 8, lines 12, and col. 9, lines 61-67), via a mobile switching center (MSC), a message, addition-information-request-message, on an A-interface a base station subsystem (BSS). In this case, the

Application/Control Number:

10/580,093

Art Unit: 2618

BSS is inherently with the system of the BTS and MCS. To send the additional information to the group members (see fig. 1-2, col. 7, lines 52-col. 8, lines 12, and col. 9, lines 61-67, and col. 3, lines 49-67, and col. 4, lines 49-61), and sending, via the BSS the additional information on a of Slow Page Associated Channel (SPACH) and Broadcast Channel (BCCH) all traffic channels (TCHs) where the VGC is ongoing (see fig. 1-2, col. 4, lines 26-61). But Raith does not mention the sending information on the slow associated control channel (SACCH).

However, Sollner teaches sending information on the slow associated control channel (SACCH) (see fig. 4-5, col. 5, lines 19-58).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time invention was made to modify above teaching of Raith with Sollner, in order to provide GSDM system to measure signal strength and bit error rate of the selected channel of each mobile station easier (see suggested by Sollner on col. 6, lines 1-5).

Regarding claim 15, Raith teaches the additional information is not provided in radio cells which belong to the VGC-area but a VGC-channel is not established (see fig. 3, col. 4, lines 49-col. 5, lines 16). In this case, the user has joined and has registration the GVC service is read the information and VGC-channel is established, for the user does not joined and has not registration then the VGC-channel is not established.

Application/Control Number:

10/580,093 Art Unit: 2618

4. Claims 13-14, 16-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Raith (U.S. Patent No. 6,385,461) in view of Sollner (U.S. Patent No. 5,506,837) further in view of Kundu (U.S. Pub. No. 2005/0239485).

Regarding claim 13, Raith teaches the message is sent on a resource controlling signaling on control channel (see fig. 2, col. 3, lines 39-48). But Raith does not mention a resource controlling signaling connection control part (SCCP) connection.

However, Kundu teaches a resource controlling signaling connection control part (SCCP) connection (see fig. 6, page 3, section [0049]).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time invention was made to modify above combination of the teaching of Raith and Sollner with Kundu, in order to provide maintains reliable transport over a signaling link by monitoring status and performing error checks.

Regarding claim 14, Raith teaches the message is sent on a resource controlling signaling on control channel (see fig. 2, col. 3, lines 39-48). And But Raith does not mention a resource controlling signaling connection control part (SCCP) connection of the VGC without addressing a meant VGC.

However, Kundu teaches a resource controlling signaling connection control part (SCCP) connection (see fig. 6, page 3, section [0049]). And since the connection of the VGC without addressing because using group ID. instead of a the addressing.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time invention was made to modify above combination of the teaching of Raith and Sollner with

and performing error checks.

10/580,093

Art Unit: 2618

Kundu, in order to provide maintains reliable transport over a signaling link by monitoring status

Regarding claims 16 and 17, Raith teaches the additional information is not provided in radio cells which belong to the VGC-area but a VGC-channel is not established (see fig. 3, col. 4, lines 49-col. 5, lines 16). In this case, the user has joined and has registration the GVC service is read the information and VGC-channel is established, for the user does not joined and has not registration then the VGC-channel is not established.

Regarding claim 18-20, Kundu teaches the additional information is sent on a controlling signaling connection control part (SCCP) connection (see fig. 6, page 3, section [0049]), Sollner teaches the BSS is responsible sending information on the slow associated control channel (SACCH) (see fig. 4-5, col. 5, lines 19-58). Therefore, the combination of Kundu and Sollner is teaching the limitation of the claim.

Regarding claims 21-23, Raith teaches after having receive the additional information, the MS provides an acknowledge information to the BS that the additional information was received (see col. 1, lines 60-62). That is obvious to the BSS send the acknowledge information to the BSC.

Regarding claims 24-26, Kundu teaches give an indication that the additional information was at least received by a current talker, the talker sends an acknowledgement on an uplink-

SACCH for this VGC (see page 5, sections [0077-0078], and Sollner teaches sending information on the slow associated control channel (SACCH) (see fig. 4-5, col. 5, lines 19-58). Since Kundu teaches the talker and listener start talking and receiving with responds by PTT Button, that is the acknowledgement, and also Sollner teaches sending information on the slow associated control channel (SACCH), Therefore, the combination of Kundu and Sollner teaches the limitation of the claim.

Conclusion

5. Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to:

(571) 273-8300, (for Technology Center 2600 only)

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to the Customer Service Window (now located at the Randolph Building, 401 Dulany Street, Alexandria, VA 22314).

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tan Trinh whose telephone number is (571) 272-7888. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 9:30 AM to 6:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiners supervisor, Anderson, Matthew D., can be reached at (571) 272-4177.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300.

Application/Control Number:

10/580,093

Art Unit: 2618

Page 7

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the **Technology Center 2600 Customer Service Office** whose telephone

number is (703) 306-0377.

7. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Tan H. Trinh Division 2618 February 2, 2008

PATENT EXAMINER
TRINH,TAN

Jan 25 Jan